

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,321	07/07/2003	Arlo Aude	11461.00.0173	7354	
23418	7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
VEDDER F	PRICE KAUFMAN & KAI	MOTTOLA,	MOTTOLA, STEVEN J		
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chickey, in the contract of th			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/614,321		AUDE, ARLO					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Steven J. Mo	ottola	2817					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the d	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuteply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ply within the statuto d will apply and will e te, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tition to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	• •								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	-,	1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin et al.

Refer to detail fig. 2 of Martin et al. A power supply B(+)1,B(-) is supplied to an input differential stage 3 and may be read as the first power supply voltage claimed while stage 3 is read as the first amplifier means or circuitry claimed as it receives an input differential signal IPS,IPS2 and generates an intermediate differential signal 1/A, 1/A comp. A power supply circuit 6 generates another set of supply voltages B(+)2,B(-)2 from the first voltage. Although a 1:1 transformer is used in the supply circuit 6, the drop across diodes D2,D3 will ensure that the B2 supply voltage is less than B1 and thus the B2 supply may be read as the second power supply voltage claimed. The B2 supply voltage is supplied to an output differential amplifier stage 5 that generates an output differential signal OUT+,OUT- from the intermediate differential signal. Thus stage 5 may be read as the second amplifier means or circuitry claimed. Since this is an audio output amplifier, it would be logical to assume it draws more current than the input stage 3.

Claims 12-17 & 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Claims 1 & 9 specify a voltage follower not shown by Martin et al., and claim 15 implies the same; the output magnitude of the output stage 5 of Martin et al. would presumabley be greater than the signal fed to it as it is used as an audio output amplifier. The current source circuitry of claims 12-14 is not shown by Martin et al. It would be unlikely that the common mode voltages of the stages would differ by a predetermined amount (claim 16) or be made equal (claim 19) due to the presence of isolation network 4 between the amplifier stages.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ausserlechner and Sevenhans et al. each disclose cascaded amplifier stages using different supplies but in each case the output stage uses a higher supply voltage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. Application/Control Number: 10/614,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Mottola Primary Examiner